|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Department:  Programme:  Session:  Semester:  Registration Number: | |
| 1. Quality of course content 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Need Improvement | 1. Availability of optional papers for students choice 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Course coverage and delivery 2. 85-100% & Excellent 3. 70-85% & Good 4. 55-70% & Satisfactory 5. Less than 55% & Needs Improvement | 1. Learning value (in term of Knowledge, concepts, manual skills and analytical abilities) 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Knowledge base of the teachers 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Adequacy and quality of laboratories 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Your background for benefiting from the course was 2. More than adequate 3. Just adequate 4. Inadequate 5. Cannot say | 1. Library support 2. Excellent 3. Adequate 4. Inadequate 5. Very poor |
| 1. Sincerity and commitment level of teachers 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Support from administrative office 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Practical or applied content of teaching 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Co-curricular and extra-curricular activities 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Teachers’ accessibility outside the class 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. How well the teacher prepare for class 2. Effectively 3. Invariably 4. Satisfactorily 5. Badly |
| 1. What effect do you think the internal assessment will have on your course grade? 2. Improve it 3. Lower it 4. No effect 5. Cannot say | 1. Handling of students’ grievances 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Encouragement by teachers for class participation 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Motivation by the teachers for Career counselling and performance development 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |
| 1. Fairness of internal assessment 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Were outside experts invited to address you? 2. Yes 3. Rarely 4. None |
| 1. Teachers’ testing methods to evaluate students 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement | 1. Overall rating keeping in view of general teaching-learning environment 2. Excellent 3. Good 4. Satisfactory 5. Needs Improvement |

Signature of student